

ANKS AFTER SERMON.

SISTER OF MERCY, SACRED HEART CONVENT, KILKREE, most respectfully express and grateful thanks to the Rev. M. P.P. for his very interesting and edifying sermon, delivered at the Catholic Church, on the side of the Building Fund for the New School. Also to their generous benefactors and the gentlemen who most kindly presented Collectors on the occasion.

Table listing names and amounts for the Building Fund. Includes names like Rev. Dr. Ryan, Lord Bishop, Rev. Dr. Ryan, Lord Bishop, Rev. Dr. Ryan, Lord Bishop, etc.

Subscribers of 10s. each. Miss O'Hara, Kilkeel; Mrs. Behan, do; Thomas O'Connell, Kildimo; Mr. Walker, Larrick; Mr. Jouna, P.L.G.; Mr. Connelly, Solicitor, Limerick; Mr. an, ditto; Mr. Cleary, do; Mrs. McDonnell, Kilkeel; William Murphy, James Scanlan, Kilrush; Gilligan, Daniel Kelly, Kilkeel; W. Corbett, Limerick; Mrs. Quinlan, Kilkeel; Mr. McCarthy, Post-ster, Kilkeel; Mr. Power, Limerick; Michael Lester, Kilkeel; Mrs. McMahon, Kilkeel; Mrs. anson, Kilkeel; John Moloney, Kilkeel.

Subscribers of 5s. each. Mr. Lynch, Patrick Galvin, Michael Morony, Biscarrage, Thomas Fitzgerald, J. Russell, A. Power, Atholmeaw Hennessy, Constabulary, Mr. O'Brien, Mr. O'Brien, Ulrick Bourke, John Neill, Mr. O'Neil, Mr. O'Neil, Matthew Roughan, Stephen Collins, Thomas Cahill, J. Lynch, Mrs. Neill.

Subscribers of 4s. each. Michael Costello, Pat Kennedy, Owen Heennessy 3s. 6d.

Subscribers of 2s. 6d. each. Miss Bourke, Miss O'Gorman, Mr. Hartney, James and Mr. Shannon, Mrs. Culligan, A. Friend, Mr. O'Connell, Mr. Sheahan, J. Moloney, Mr. Ryan, M. L.J., J. Waters, A. Friend, J. O'Brien, A. Friend, Maria Mahony, Miss Lysaght, Michael Wall, Thomas McInerney, John Herbert, M. Cahill, G. Tierney, A. Friend, J. Mara, Mr. Gilligan, J. Butler, Costello, A. Friend, Ditto, Pat O'Connell, Mr. Collins, Mrs. W. Delany, Miss O'Donnell, Simon O'Brien, Mrs. O'Brien, Pat Hickey.

Subscribers of 2s. each. Mr. Naughtin, Mr. Gandy, A. Friend, Mr. Woods, David Hickey, Mr. Talty, J. Haurahan, Mrs. Clune, at Casack, Thomas Casack, John Connell, Michael O'Connell, P. Collins.

Subscribers of 1s. 6d. each. J. McMahon, W. Corry, P. O'Connell. Subscribers of 1s. each. Mrs. Burns, Ellen Keane, W. Hickey, M. Kenna, Hickey, M. Moloney, P. McGee, J. Doherty, P. Hickey, Mr. Hanly, Mr. Carrig, J. Garvey, Visitor, M. P. Sullivan, J. Downes, M. Moloney, Miss Woodcock, P. Scanton, J. McNamara, B. Clancy, P. Guane, J. Scanlon, P. Maxman, M. Sweeney, P. Corry, P. Costello, J. Reilly, Miss McInerney, Mary Kennedy, Thomas Russell, Pat Robinson, Thomas Purtil, James Foley, J. McGrath, C. Keane, B. Keane, T.

the recoil. The repression of Communist crime seems impossible to the Government. Seville has been partly burned down by gangs of the Red desperadoes. Between them and the troops of the Government, peaceable people in the principal cities are suffering every description of outrage; and the only portion of Spain which is exempt is the Northern, which is in possession of the Carlist forces, by whom contributions no doubt are levied, but order established and security of life and property ordained. The other provinces, tugged at by the Communists and Republicans of the Government class, are in danger of the doom of a victim about to be torn by wild horses asunder, and this must be their fate if the Carlists cannot make head in time for their rescue. Sections of the Carlist have suffered a defeat, but they appear on the whole to be increasing in numbers and discipline, in compactness and power, and the agony of Spain will only be over when they crush the conflicting factions, if that consummation they can achieve.

THE EPIDEMIC.

WE have not written a word hitherto on this subject, as we would not add to the apprehensions which the rather sensational and perhaps too frequent references of contemporaries everywhere, are calculated to excite. We believe the fears of a visitation of cholera to this country are exaggerated, and we hope that, with God's gracious mercy, it will not reach our shores. If even it does, we have the impression that it will not appear in as virulent a type as that in which it formerly entered. But undoubtedly precautions and prevention are essential. Forewarned is forearmed. Obsta principis: to meet it at the threshold and combat it there is an advisable measure, and this is being arranged, at the instance of the active Poor Law Inspector, Mr. Bourke, of this district, by whom, it will be observed, the Kilrush Board of Guardians have been moved on the subject, the suggestions he offered promptly accepted, and medical and other arrangements adopted to treat any case arriving on shipboard. The malady in fact will be met at the mouth of the estuary, and prevented, if possible, from coming up here. The like measure is, we think, provided at Tarbert, and if in the latter as well as the former port, the means of exclusion are carefully carried out, the principal and the most perilous pathway may be assumed to be foreclosed, and the alien enemy of life encountered before this centre of dense population is approached. Landwise, however, no such exclusion might be practicable, and the only preventive is intramural cleanliness, the extinction of City nuisances, and, above all, of that mass of horrifying workhouse deposits which was collected, and for which twenty tons of bog-mould are prescribed as a cure. What an abyss of pollution must it not be when twenty tons of peat are required to neutralize its effervescing putrescence. This dreadful evil, with the auxiliary sources, is, we believe, about to be abolished, and although the sanitary city authorities do not seem to be busy, we dare to say the note of preparation will be sounded in the season, and all that is necessary held in readiness to repel or repress the dreaded invasion.

THE COUNTY MILITIA.

UNDISMAYED by the disasters of that gallant corps of non-effective British belligerents who were beaten to nummy the other day by the Sun, our Government are, it is rumoured, about to march our County Regiment the whole way on foot to the Curragh Kildare. It is a marked compliment, which the Chancellor of the Exchequer pays our Militia men, and not the less flattering that he prefers paying a tribute, by a long trial, to their pedestrianism, rather than pay up their fares by rail. The route will be nothing but a pleasant excursion of two hundred miles, more or less, wet or dry, only that when the travellers reach the racing ground they will not be competent to compete in speed with the cattle. Men of the Line and the Artillery, horses and all, are now a-days carried by rail, but the economical honor is offered the Limerick boys, of posting them forward on their own pedestals, and deducting nothing from the seventy-four millions of public revenue for their convenience. The only extra will be in the consumption of shoe leather, but as the carrier, in the town besieged, thought there was nothing like it, for the purposes of fortification, the Chancellor of the Exchequer evidently estimates the article as an important subsidiary implement in the preparatory stages of war. We hope our Militia men will out a good figure in the manoeuvres, and show, notwithstanding the cheeseparing that commits them to a march of two hundred miles at a stretch, that they are capable of rivalry with regiments that enter the field with locomotive laurels, and keeping pace with the freshest, who are furnished with tickets at the country's cost. In any event, we anticipate that if they must measure the roads' step by step to the Curragh, they will answer the master roll in higher spirit and numbers than those formidable British Volunteers, who fell away mile after mile, melting down like a soft tallow candle, reduced to a jelly by the sultriness of the atmosphere and knocked up and down before they travelled far, by the conquering sun.

DEATH OF JOSEPH MURPHY, Esq. CROWN SOLICITOR FOR THE COUNTY OF LIMERICK.

WE are fallen upon gloomy days, in the legal profession of the Solicitors' branch practising in Limerick, and too truly it may be said that star after star in that popular sphere decays, when the esteemed, always honorable, amiable and able Joseph Murphy is added to the large list bearing the names of John O'Donnell and Daniel Doyle, and being as lengthened and mournful a roll as might be formed in any city of Ireland. In none could three men of higher attainments, greater acuteness, or more unqualified probity be found connected with a learned pursuit, and none elsewhere of more distinguished more uniformly than the last named, for the wise thoughtfulness that never commits an impulsive error, or the abundant knowledge that never need hesitate in affording opinions. Of the various offices which he held to his death, the lamented deceased discharged the duties with faultless excellence; and of the public bodies with which he had relations, he was the confidential and ever-true adviser. No legal question, however difficult or abruptly propounded, found him unprepared; and no opportunity of promoting law suits, if unadvisable for their interests, could tempt him to institute any of his own will, with detriment to his clients. Even when institutions contended he strove to reconcile and spare them litigation; and we do not doubt that the harmony which has prevailed, or the accommodation which has followed disputes between representatives of public trusts in this city, was due to his disinterested and pacific mediation. His extensive, well earned private practice rendered him independent of the gains that are gathered from corporate connexion—not merely municipal; and by no means robust and laboring in recent years under chronic affection, yet his personal attendance was always assured whenever his presence was deemed expedient. He was unequal to no suit at law, however perplexing or ponderous it may have been, and the most momentous transactions passed through his office with the utmost satisfaction, and the best results to the parties for whom he was concerned. He is succeeded by his excellent son, of whose accession to the profession we recently had a brief notice, little foreseeing that he would have to measure only the memory of his upright and exemplary father; but cherishing it well, and resolved to be worthy of it, the opening of the young gentleman's own career is the more auspicious, as he has entered into partnership with Mr. Thomas H. Kenny, Solicitor, a conjunction that, blending the business and ability of both, must needs be prosperous. An honored name is inherited by one, a high name is acquired by the other, and they need not follow unaided in the footsteps of Joseph Murphy to retain all the favor and faith that he earned. He was an unswerving friend, and never an arduous professional opponent; and in political contests, when misunderstandings occurred between co-adjutors aspirants to popular support, his interposition was invoked, and his counsel given with an effect that allayed the aggravated excitement, and reconciled all. In these, as in other engagements, his word was as valid as his bond, and utterly incapable of an undignified or embarrassing stipulation, his propositions were acceptable for their equity, his views recommended by their moderation, and his reasoning habitually characterized by gentlemanly expression, good sense and good taste. To adopt a familiar word—as a hardpiece he had no superior; and intunately conversant with the affairs of this Borough for many a year, indeed for an age, he was a treasury of municipal knowledge, and more than a Nestor when difficulties thickened or dissensions occurred. He was in his sixty first year, and his death took place at his Dublin residence on the morning of Sunday last. He died as he lived with calm self-possession, consoled by the presence of his affectionate family, and with perfect resignation to the will of God. By his demise, the offices of Clerk of the Crown for the County of Limerick and Law Officer to the Corporation, Harbour Board and Board of Guardians, are vacant, and sought for by the principal members of his profession resident here. His mortal remains, attended by his sons, and his son-in-law, were brought home by mail train last night, and borne this morning to the Church of St. Michael's. High mass was solemnized, the Lord Bishop presiding. At the close of the religious obsequies, the body was removed to the hearse which was in waiting, and borne slowly away, preceded by a large number of clergyman, and followed by long and most respected procession, in which the municipal council and several public boards were fully and distinctly represented. When the cortege reached the gates of the Cemetery of Mount Saint Laurence, the coffin was carried to the family vault, and before it was lowered the last rites were offered, and all that was mortal of Joseph Murphy consigned to the last place of earthly rest, with many an expression of regret for his loss, from a host of personal friends, and many a true prayer for the repose of his soul.

TELEGRAPHIC DESPATCHES

THE ADJOURNED LIM COUNTY RECORD CO (BEFORE THE RIGHT HON BREACH OF PROMISE SHEEHY V. O'RIORDAN)

His Lordship Baron Deasy today morning at half-past ten o'clock, presided at the adjourned trial of the case of Sheehy v. O'Riordan, which had been adjourned from the 1st of July, and was resumed, and engaged the court till 1 o'clock. In the meantime the rapidly occupied by numbers of gentlemen, intent on hearing the proceedings of Sheehy v. O'Riordan, in breach of promise of marriage. The O.R. magistrates and other gentlemen were thronged by Mr. Ryan, the courteous Counsel for the plaintiff offering the best request offering the best by all parties, and judiciously acceptable arrangements. It was sworn on the case by John M'Namara, Charles McGrath, Michael Madden, Wheeler, Joseph Hayes, H. Grady F. Conyns, Patrick Gorman, William Brodin, Counsel for the plaintiff; Q.C., O'Brien, Q.C., and Nain by Mr. Sellers, solicitor. For the defence—Messrs H. Fitzgibbon, Q.C., Blackall, B. Nessy, B.L., instructed by Mr. Ryan. Mr. Nash opened the case Elizabeth Sheehy was the defendant was John Evans O' being four counts in the summons defence was that the promise broken off by mutual consent. alleged that that was not a marry, but there was a subsequent defence was a traverser to that for the jury was as to whether promise of marriage. Damages £5,000. Mr. O'Riordan, Q.C., then addressed the plaintiff. He said that in the absence of his learned friend who was occupied in the other to them without any unnecessary waste of public time, the court had compelled the plaintiff to go of gentlemen of the County of Limerick to redress for a wrong of the plaintiff and one calculated to affect the happiness in his life. The action was in substance for breach of promise and to the various forms in which was expressed the defendant's answers, which seemed in their nature to be a denial of the promise. The action alleged that he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of the contract was put an end to by mutual consent of the plaintiff and defendant. The plaintiff having found out that the defendant was not the person who was about to plead, newly assigned that is to say—"The action was put an end to, is not the one proceeding on, but another matter." The plaintiff also in the plaintiff promised to marry her as soon as circumstances occurred; as soon as distinguished relative would simply denied that promise, and substance they had two questions to be made the promise to marry, secondly, whether he had promised to marry, and he pleaded in answer a rescission of