k to the report, he names of the t given, because ord should take h human beings

was over the illane, and they tioned in the regiven examples. such ruin, such ould be found not he face of the ave no notion of himself saw exlities themselves. shocking state. he new town as never had been nt was questioned the scores. Mr gs by not detaille under his obhis work well in but some of the te as to render it them. The sanier compelling the and cellars to put

They would be me of the owners rge revenues conat was given. He be connected with e of things. He tion that in the find any persons had now arrived break out, and would be very

ak flushing would ase. The habita-

quite agree with ushing. Some of o which they rer and in a cleanly ed flushing would should be supplied

onsequence of the well, they made to have a main adlords to supply he Local Governbstruction. They ere not permitted ers of the houses report should be able repair, and he that the Corpora-

owing all the re-

receiving a pound )uses

r Spillane's report e had stated at the

It was said that isidered he would state what he had n whom he met eting. Why was the subject at the dians on Wednes-

ere. use. the house

exaggeration, but

t mean that you

he fever ward and 24 convalescents fever. Whether fever in the city, but one doctor stated there was quite recently an increase in the number of cases.—Adjourned.

## SAD ACCIDENT AT THE RAILWAY TERMINI.

Yesterday, Mr M. J. DeCourcey, City Coroner, held an inquest at Mr Walshe's public-house, in Nelson-street, touching the death of Moloney, a pig-driver, 36 years of age, in the employ of Messrs Denny, who met with his death at the local goods yard of the Waterford and Limerick Railway, on Saturday last. Head-constable Wall conducted the case for

the Crown.

Mr Doyle (Messrs Dundon and Doyle, solrs.) appeared to watch the proceedings on behalf of the Waterford and Limerick Railway Company, and Mr Glynn, assistant manager of the company, was also present.

Mr John Ryan, solicitor, appeared on behalf of the relatives of the deceased.

The jury having viewed the body and the

scene of the occurrence.

Richard Devane was sworn. He stated in answer to Head-constable Wall he was employed in the goods department of the Waterford and Limerick Railway Comapny; on Saturday morning he was standing outside the outward goods store of the Company; he heard a shout, and saw the deceased caught between the buffer of a waggon and the buffer block; when deceased was caught he was standing with his back to the trucks; he called to a porter named Gleeson to have the trucks eased, and when this was done, and the deceased taken out he sent for a doctor.

In answer to Mr Ryan, witness said that he saw a man named Martin standing about 15 yards from the line; Martin was employed to watch the line and keep the way char; deceased

had no business to be in the place te was.

Garrett Gleeson said that he was standing near the goods store on Saturday morning when Devane called him and asked him if there was an engine on the trucks that had caught Moloney to tell the driver to go ahead, when he did so, but all the waggons were not attached; when he got to the buffer block he saw deceased lying on his back; about two minutes prior to deceased lying Mr Devage calling him he saw the train backing into the siding, and the way was clear; the train was going very slowly; he could not say whether the engine whistled or not before it

In answer to Mr Ryan, witness said it was his duty to clean out the waggons when they were stopped; it was his duty to stop any people from going into danger; he saw Sheehan there but there was nobody between the engine and the buffer block—a distance of 100 yards—to keep the way clear; there was no necessity for any-one, as there was nothing in the way; had seen deceased several times on the premises in charge of pigs, but could not say whether he had any there on Saturday.

Michael Sheehan stated that he was foreman in charge of the shunting; on Saturday morning after a train had shunted off the main line into a siding, he was told by Gleeson to tell the engine driver to have the engine "go ahead" as there was somebody caught on the line; he gave the word to go ahead and the engine did so; it was usual for the engines to whistle before shunting, but as there were many whistles blowing at the time he could not say whether the engine driving the waggons did so; there were 24 waggons on the line at the time, six being attached to the engine; there was some noise when the waggons came together; the engine-driver could not see the block as there was a curve in the line.

By Mr Ryan-He had other duties than to see to the shunting of the waggons, and was not down the line near the block.

In answer to Mr Doyle, witness said that there

was no necessity for the deceased to pass between the waggons and the block as he could have

the waggons and the block as he could have gone behind it.

Daniel Lynch stated that on Saturday morning he was seeing to the shunting of a train on to a siding; he stopped the engine before going on to the siding to see if the way were clear; it was all right and he told the engine driver to ease back" which he did; he saw the deceased before the accident but did not know what he was doing.—Cross-examined by Mr Ryan—He was the the accident out and not know what he was doing.—Cross-examined by Mr Ryan—He was the length of six waggons from the engine driver, as far as he could see him; there was no one in charge

far as he could see him; there was no one in charge of the shunting nearer than himself to the place where deceased was caught.

In answer to Mr Doyle, witness said that before he gave the signal for the engine to back he went down the line and it was quite clear; he had to return out of sight of the stop block to give the signal for the engine to back.

Patrick Skehan, a boy aged 13, said that he was in company with the deceased on Saturday morning; they were driving 61 pigs from the

was in company with the deceased on Saturday morning; they were driving 61 pigs from the railway to Messrs Denny's store; he saw the accident occur; some pigs had gone under the trucks and between the blocks; deceased went to drive them away and was caught between the drive them away and was caught between the buffer and the stop block; he was passing in towards the wall and had passed one of the buffers when he was daught; witness did not see the waggons approaching the deceased as he had his head turned from him; he could not see the engine and did not hear the noise of one lot of engine and du not near the noise of one lot of waggons going against the others.

By Mr Doyle—He (witness) was driving about the pigs when the accident happened.

In answer to Mr Eyan, witness said he did not

see anybody watching the line below the curve but there might have been some one between the waggons and the wall.

Dr Barry stated that he was called in to see Dr Barry stated that he was called in to see the deceased after the accident. He was lying in the goods store of the Waterford and Limerick. Railway Company's premises. Deceased stated that he was crushed in the stomath, between the buffers, and thought he was dying. between the ouners, and thought he was dying. He was in a state of collapse, and was suffering much from internal pains. Stimulants were administered, and he was taken to Barrington's hospital on a spring float.

Dr. Holmes said that the deceased was admitted to Barrington's hospital to form

mitted to Barrington's hospital a few minutes after 12 o'clock on aturday, and died at five o'clock. In his epinion death was the result of rupture of the bowels, and the injuries could be caused in the manner described.

This closed the evidence, and

This closed the evidence, and
Mr Ryan addressing the jury said he wished
to call their attention to one or two facts. They
had all heard the evidence. The deceased was
in the employment of Messrs Denny, and part
of his duties was to go to the railway to get pigs
and convey them to his employers stores. On
the day he met with his death he was
there on his lawful business. He was no
interloper or; idler, and, it was the business of the railway company to protect every
man going there on his lawful business from
being killed. From the evidence that had been
adduced he would submit that there was gross
negligence on the part of the railway authorities, as it was their duty to have a man present
to prevent such accidents.

to prevent such accidents.

Mr. Doyle submitted that every reasonable care was taken on the part of the railway authorities, and that the accident was entirely un-Michael Sheehan was therein charge avoidable. Michael Sheehan was therein charge of the shuhting, and Lynch walked down along the line to see if it were clear. It was so, and he went back into sight of the engine to give the necessary signal. It was quiteplain the railway officials used every care, and that the accident, much as they recreated it was not caused through any racing reconstant. gretted it, was not caused through any negligence on their part.

After a deliberation of considerable duration After a democration of considerable duration the jury returned the following verdict:—"That the said John Moloney died on the 30th May, and that his death was caused by being crushed between the buffer of a train and the buffer block, and we are of opinion that there was neglect on the part of the Railway Company in not having a man stationed at the end of the line whilst waggons were being shunted, or having a railing to protect the place."

dir post in market. ordinary 54 00s 0d to 00

POTATOR 5 d to 6d r 1 load ma

CORK BU 2nds, 68s 2nds, 66s Superfir firkins, 4 k

BIRMIN quiet, beef 9d to 19d t to 98 6d SALFOR a larger sur a larger sur was good prices. La at reduced 6d to 81d;

Limerick Trales Frida Waterf Mallo month Thur

Neilan

Spring Beard Wife BOIL

Sntl

Sutherle mult 58 Hetheri