

Summerville, the residence of Mr. J. F. Bannatyne, D.L. It is a strikingly true picture, and from an artistic point of view could not be excelled by any house in the country. Messrs. Guy and Company are to be congratulated on turning out such very high class photography, and it speaks well for taste and judgment, which, indeed, are at all times characteristic of their workmanship. Messrs. Guy are also turning out some groups which were taken on the Lord Lieutenant's visit.

**DIGNAM'S DUBLIN GUIDE—MESSRS EASON AND SON.**—This guide book practically exhausts all that can be said about the sights of the Irish capital. It is full of information, historical and topographical, respecting the chief land marks of both old and new Dublin. The tourist will find it invaluable, and the citizens themselves will find it no less interesting. Much appropriate information will be found grouped around the names of the historical sites and buildings of Dublin, whilst the illustrations are numerous and well chosen. The "Daylight Trips" direct the tourist to the more picturesque portions of Dublin and Wicklow, being within easy access from the metropolis. This guide book leaves nothing to be desired, and with its aid the tourist will learn more of the past and present of Dublin than can be gleaned from half a dozen other sources.

#### FUNERAL OF LADY ENID WYNDHAM QUIN.

The interment of Lady Enid Wyndham Quin, eldest daughter of the Earl and Countess of Dunraven, took place to-day. The remains of the deceased lady had been brought over from London, and lay in Adare Manor till removed to-day to the family mausoleum adjacent to the Protestant Church. The coffin, which was beautifully decorated with flowers, was borne to its last resting place by the tenantry and friends of Lord Dunraven. The beautiful funeral service was read by the Rev. L. H. O'Brien, rector of Adare, assisted by the Venerable Archdeacon of Limerick. The chief mourners were the Earl of Dunraven and Captain the Hon. Wyndham Quin. Amongst those who followed in the funeral procession we observed the following—Mr. Aubrey De Vere, Hon. Mr. Monsell, Rev. Father Flanagan, P.P., Adare; Mr. Thos. Fosbery, Mr. John White, D.L.; Sir James Spaight, D.L.; Sir Charles Barrington, Bart.; Col. the Hon. L. Massy, Rev. J. T. Waller, Mr. W. Waller, D.L.; Major Maunsell, Mr. J. Roche Kelly, D.L.; Mr. J. F. Bannatyne, D.L.; Mr. James Nash, J.P.; Mr. Ralph Nash, Mr. J. Guinane, J.P.; Rev. J. Dowd, Mr. P. Fitzgerald, Mr. George Fosbery, Mr. W. F. O'Grady, J.P.; Mr. J. Vanderkiste, J.P.; Mr. E. Plumstead, Mr. J. Hewson, Mr. J. Browning, Mr. Gerald Beere, Mr. Kirby, the Christian Brothers, Adare Monastery; Dr. Shanahan, Dr. Clifford, Mr. Winter, V.S.; Mr. L. Christi, the children of the Christian Brothers' Schools, the Convent of Mercy, and the Parochial School marching two by two. Amongst those who sent carriages were—Sir David V. Roche, Sir James Spaight, Sir Charles Barrington, Lord Clarina, Lord Emily, Lord Monteagle, Most Rev. Dr. O'Dwyer, Lord Bishop of Limerick; Mr. W. F. O'Grady, Mr. J. Ellard, Clerk of the Crown and Peace; Mr. J. Vanderkiste, Rev. J. T. Waller, Mr. J. Roche-Kelly, Dr. Shanahan, Mr. P. Fitzgerald,

exposing and destroying the system of jury packing, suddenly, and for no reason that anybody has ever been able to guess, collapsed, that the collapse was brought about by pleas of guilty being entered by the prisoners' counsel just when fortune seemed to favour the defence, and that this surrender was made by counsel who were specially retained with fees of unusual liberty, subscribed by the public, to make a strong and persistent fight. That is one part of the statement; the other is that, having received those heavy fees to defend the prisoners, and having so surrendered instead of fighting, Mr. Healy and his learned colleagues subsequently accepted extra fees from the Crown for their services in those same trials. . . . We confess we have all along doubted the authenticity of the allegation that Mr. Healy possessed himself directly or indirectly with extra fees from the Crown. But his speech yesterday shakes our doubt. Is he afraid or ashamed of the truth? Let him answer if he is a man." The plaintiff claimed that these publications meant that he was anxious to pass over in silence serious charges of gross corruption and collusion with the Government authorities at Dublin Castle, that he was unable to deny these charges of having betrayed for a money bribe the interests of his clients by inducing them to plead guilty, and that he had also used needy pressure to obtain extravagant fees for the prisoners' defence counsel. The plaintiff stated that these charges were maliciously made to injure him in his private and professional character, and £5,000 damages were claimed.

The defendants, by their statement of defence, formally traverse the printing and publishing of the words complained of, and that the words were *bona fide* comment on a matter of public interest.

Counsel for the Plaintiff—Mr. George Wright, Q.C., Mr. D. B. Sullivan, Q.C., and Mr. Matthew J. Bourke (instructed by Mr. P. A. Chance, solicitor, M.P.).

Counsel for the Defendant—Mr. William MacLaughlin, Q.C., Mr. Seymour Bushe, and Mr. William B. Campbell (instructed by Mr. Ambrose Plunkett, solicitor).

The county special jury panel having been called over, the jury were empannelled as follows:—Thomas Griffiths (foreman); John Hartigan, John Keyes, Timothy Gleeson, John Barry, Daniel C. Russell, Michael Barry, Martin Hartigan, Daniel S. O'Connell, Edward Lloyd, John Lloyd, John George Kelly, J.P.

Challenged for the plaintiff—McI M'Namara, George Gloster, William J. Clery, Thomas Smyth, George Smith, John Russell.

For the defendants—Gerald O'Connor, Thomas Lloyd, R. Fogerty, Thomas Fitzgerald, Patrick Power, Denis M'Namara.

The swearing of the jury occupied a very short time, and

Mr. Bourke opened the pleadings. The plaintiff, counsel said, was Mr. Timothy M. Healy, and the defendants the *Freeman's Journal* Company, Limited. The action was brought to recover £5,000 damages for libel. These libels were mentioned and published by the defendants in their newspapers, the *Freeman's Journal* and *Evening Telegraph*. The defendants denied the publication of the matters referred to; they also allege that these matters did not constitute a libel, and further pleaded a fair comment. These were the issues the jury would have to try.

Mr. Wright, Q.C., in opening the case said—The action was brought to recover damages laid at £5,000 for a series of very grave, unfounded, and unscrupulous libels published upon him. It