

LOSS OF BICYCLE

Cinema Owners Sued

"VERY DOUBTFUL CASE"

Before Mr. H. L. Conner, B.L., D.J., at Kilmallock Civil Bill Court, Maurice Crowley, Kilbreedy, had an action against Howard Bros., cinema proprietors, Sarsfield St., Kilmallock, for £9 for loss of a bicycle alleged to be left in the care of the defendants while plaintiff was attending a picture show which was run by them.

Mr. W. McCarthy, solr., appeared for plaintiff, and Mr. W. A. Lee, solr. (Messrs. Roger Fox & Co.), for defendants.

Plaintiff stated that on November 16th last he left his bicycle in a shed which was part of the cinema and was there for the purpose of storing bicycles while people were attending the pictures. When he came back the machine was missing and two young chaps said that two parties came out and took two bicycles.

To Mr. Lee—The bicycle was left in a shed adjoining the cinema and he did not take any notice of bikes all over the place. He paid no charge for the keeping of the bike and he did not hand it over to the Howards or any of their agents.

To Mr. McCarthy—He would be very slow about coming to the pictures if he had no place to put his bike.

Patrick Cronin stated that he attended Howard's cinema show on the night of November 16th last and left his bike in a shed at the side of the hall. The machine was stolen and Martin Howard, who was standing at the shed when witness was going in, said it was the first time he went in to see a picture.

To Mr. Lee—He did not hand up his bike to anyone in the hall that night.

Mr. Lee said he was relying on the fact that there was no trust or delivery. The defendants held out no invitation and gave no security and the plaintiff took advantage of the house, which was not a garage or storehouse. There was no reward and no liability.

Mr. McCarthy said the defendants allowed the bikes to be placed in the house and one of them was present. The witness, Cronin, said that Martin Howard was there when the bikes were handed in and this was the case since the cinema was started.

The Justice said that it was a very doubtful case but he thought there was no trust. If the cinema proprietor was to make himself responsible for the bikes he would have to take a lot of trouble and should have a special attendant when the hall was emptied out. He dismissed the case.

OBITUARY

MR. MARTIN CLANCY.

The death of Mr. Martin Clancy, which took place at his residence, 5 Corporation Dwellings, Athlunkard Street, Limerick, on Sat. Wed-

APPRECIATED

Co. Limerick Priest

WHO DIED IN WEST AFRICA

The recent death of Rev. Cornelius Mulcahy, B.A., C.S.Sp., at Sierre Leone (West Africa) is recalled by a resolution received by the dead priest's mother, Mrs. Mulcahy, of Monegay, Newcastle West. Aged 54 years, the late Father Mulcahy was a native of Monegay. He died on 8th December, 1941, at Freetown, Sierre Leone, and the following resolution of sympathy passed by the General Council of the Sierre Leone Football Association on 26th January, 1942, has been transmitted to his mother:—

"The General Council of the Sierre Leone Football Association, composed of all clubs in membership of the Association, having learned of the lamentable death of Rev. Cornelius Mulcahy, B.A., C.S.Sp., one of the trustees of the Association, a former Vice-President, and for many years a member of the Sierre Leone Football Association, resolves as follows:—

1.—"That the Association place on record its profound regret at the death of Rev. Father C. Mulcahy, and the irreparable loss which it has thus suffered, and desires that an expression of its sympathy be conveyed to the bishops and fathers of the Roman Catholic Mission, to the mother, brother and other relatives of the deceased.

2.—"That arrangements be made for a Solemn Requiem Mass for the repose of the soul of the deceased to be sung at the Roman Catholic Cathedral at an early date at the instance of and at the expense of the Sierre Leone Football Association.

3.—"That in recognition of the great and yeoman services rendered to the Sierre Leone Football Association (and to sports in the community) by Rev. Father C. Mulcahy, particularly in the laying out and improvement of the Association's grounds at King Town and in the general administration of the affairs of the Sierre Leone Football Association a memorial plaque with suitable inscription be placed at a selected place on the wall of the pavilion on the Association's grounds, King Town, and that the cost of the memorial plaque be paid out of the funds of the Sierre Leone Football Association.

4.—"That a copy of this resolution be forwarded to his Lordship, the Bishop of the Roman Catholic Mission for transmission in Freetown and abroad and to the mother, brother and other relatives of the deceased, and be published in the Press and broadcast."

SOIL FERTILITY

GREEN MANURING

(To the Editor, "Limerick Leader.")
Dear Sir—In the drive for more

BOOTS AND MONEY

Evidence In Larceny Charges

CASES AT BALLYNEETY

Boots and money figured in two larceny charges heard by District Justice C. S. Kenny, B.L., at Ballyneety Court on Thursday, 2nd inst. John Smyth, 17 Assembly Mall, Limerick, was charged with stealing a pair of Wellington boots, value £1, the property of John Joyce, Towlerton.

Sergt. O'Reilly said that when he arrested and charged defendant on 27th March he made a statement admitting the offence. The boots were recovered.

Justice—How did he take them?

Sergt. O'Reilly—He visited Joyce's premises. The other occupants of the place happened to be milking cows at the time. There was a little place where the workmen slept and apparently the door was open. He went in and took the boots. The boots had been in these quarters for the use of the men when cleaning drains.

Justice—Where were they recovered?—In a barber's shop in Limerick.

How did the barber come to get them?—Smyth deposited them there when he returned from Joyce's. He didn't bring them home, knowing they would be got there.

Justice—Is there anything known about him?

PREVIOUS CONVICTIONS.

Supt. Dunning mentioned previous convictions against defendant.

Justice—What do you say, Smyth?

Defendant—I went to Joyce's—I went to school with him. I am after an operation. For my children's sake I am going to ask you for one chance. As a matter of fact I have been out of my health with a perforated throat.

Justice—You are no good to your children.

Defendant—I have been working on the relief work. I will promise you —

Justice—Don't make promises. I will take a lenient view. I will give you a month.

Defendant—I promise you I will never trouble the Civic Guards or the court again. I will pay a fine.

Justice—You can't pay a fine. You have no money. It is a bad case. I can't have this kind of thing going on. I can't have farmer's things taken like this.

OFFENCE ADMITTED.

David Russell, Kilderry, Fedamore, was charged with stealing £1 4s. from the premises of George Toomey, Lisnalta.

Mr. E. A. Alban Ryan, solicitor, who appeared for defendant, admitted the offence.

Sergt. O'Reilly stated that during the absence of the owner on the night of 18th March defendant, who had been in Limerick, came out and remained in the vicinity of the premises. Defendant got into the

OUR M

Labour

SPEECH

Speaking in the second stage of the Bill, Mr. M. J. Key (Limerick) said:—

The Labour Party measure. It is not that a change is in the monetary system. I feel that if a change it ought to have so hardships that have upon the people. The monetary system a steps should be taken measure that is impossible the development so far been hampered by that system. It has given us one ample. He has instead of one small farm the limitations of the hardships he has of the system of lack of credit, through the count case of one of the men in our community man anxious and produce food for the labourers having from the land, that that land has sufficient and they have not make that contribution solution of the could be made, be of a little credit. position we find ment have complete time when appeal them to grapple with unemployment in country, and the deterioration of the whole as a result that they did not money was to cost after time, when a to them, all they save the money to cost was their set replacement very well to be taken schemes for employment, but what to come from? It has been ever schemes are there been left a legacy such works by the ment in this cost take us 20 or 25 for, working under but notwithstanding schemes are available such as housing, clamoration of lands things that matter success and prosper as a whole, they tinkered with in the fringe of the touched upon, in cases, they have