

# GREATER HARDSHIP or In City Tenancy Case

## CIVIL BILL COURT CLAIM

### Decree For Plaintiff

grounds of greater hardship Justice D. F. Gleeson in Civil Bill Court to-day, decree for possession of a flat in Clare Street against a bachelor whose wife lived in it for 65 years, in the action was Edward, 38 Upper Clare Street, and the defendant was young, 26 Edward Street.

Blood-Smyth, solicitor, was plaintiff, while Mr. Martin O'Brien (Messrs. Michael Co.), appeared for the

defendant.

Collins gave evidence of notice to quit on the defendant demanding possession.

**EVIDENCE.** Earls said he was married five years ago, had two rooms and a shop, landlord of 26 Edward St., defendant's rent was £2.25. Defendant had been tenant since 1944 and was a witness.

Last year he ascertained defendant left the premises in O'Connell Avenue during 12 months ago. In January witness had a notice to quit on the defendant and the defendant was living in Mannix Villas. The defendant and wife went into 26 Edward Street and defendant lived in Mannix Villas.

DEFENDANT'S VERSION.

Frederick Young, defendant, said that he and his people had been living in the house for 65 years, and up to about four years ago his mother was tenant and at that time Mr. Earls was not landlord. He paid the rent to the plaintiff up to December, 1949, and after that date he tendered the rent to the plaintiff but it was not accepted.

Mr. Tynan—Did you in fact ever leave the premises? Strictly speaking, I never left the premises.

Continuing, witness said that he was living at 26 Edward Street at the present time and it was his intention to preserve his tenancy.

Cross-examined, witness said he never left the house. He used to go down to his sister at Mannix Villas since his brother-in-law died 18 months ago. He would not say that he was living at Mannix Villas. He agreed that it was there he was served with the notice to quit 26 Edward Street.

Mr. Tynan told the Justice that he was under the impression before the case was heard that the grounds on which possession would be sought was that the defendant had vacated possession. He now found that the stronger argument was to have possession for the plaintiff's own use. The plaintiff was living in what he (Mr. Tynan) considered to be substantial premises and some inquiry could be made about what accommodation the plaintiff had.

Just to the casual observer the premises in Clare Street appeared to be substantial.

**THE ONLY ISSUE.** The Justice said the only issue in the case was whether the landlord really required possession of these premises for his own use to reside with his wife and five children. The plaintiff was a man with five young children and the defendant was a single man. The case was really one of greater hardship. Mr. Tynan had suggested that Mr. Earls was not serious in his intention to reside in the house with his family. Mr. Earls was not a good witness, but in saying that he was not saying that he was an untruthful witness. He was a bad witness from the Court's point of view. He (Justice) could not make up his mind that the plaintiff was telling him deliberate lies and he believed that the plaintiff wants to go to his house and he would find that a fact. The plaintiff at the moment had the use of only one room for living accommodation and if one has a shop one is expected to have

perfectly serious in saying you want these premises item as a residence for your family? Yes.

Do you want them merely living in the house next door to 26 Edward Street?—I do.

I served notice to quit on these premises? I Blood-Smyth has.

do you want these premises? I can get one or the

do you want two properties? I could bring two cases

but I want to get one.

is a double barrel gun

Are you trying to

session of 27 Edward Street?—No, sir.

refused to take the

the tenant of 27 Edward Street?—Yes.

is it since you did take

the tenant of 27 Edward Street?—Yes.

desirous of erecting a

this city?—No, sir.

were you at one

best to you that if you

of 26 and 27 Edward Street you would

and erect a cinema?

The Corporation

now such a thing.

—The Corporation

such a thing.

—The Corporation