

VOCATIONAL SCHEME

Meeting Of New City Committee

THE WORKERS' DIPLOMA COURSE

FOLLOWING his re-election as Mr. O Tuathail—No. 1 just portion of this issue) Mr. P. J. DIPLOMA COURSE.

Donnellan, B.C., presided at the meeting of the City of Limerick Workers' Diploma Course. Mr. Coughlan asked

there could be somewhat similar courses made available to em-

ployers.

The C.E.O. replied that there were several courses in Cork, which were rather different to that in Limerick. The lectures were short and employers were expected to know things that the workers were taught.

Mr. Coughlan wondered if it could be given a trial.

C.E.O.—It was canvassed, but there was not much support from the Chamber of Commerce. The demand must come from outside, but there is no objection to any employers joining the Workers' Course, provided that they put in the building trade and let them that there should be available at night time a good course in mathematics.

Mr. Coughlan—Well, I did not know that.

Mr. Walsh—Has the second group in the Social Science Course started?

C.E.O.—A start will be made this week.

Mr. Walsh—Is it satisfactory?

C.E.O.—It is nothing like the first course. I have 28 applicants, but am suggesting to have the numbers reduced, as it would be better from the teaching point of view.

The same lectures will be given in the first course.

POST OF CLERICAL ASSISTANT

A discussion took place on the appointment of a clerical assistant, consequent on a notice of motion of the name of a former member of the Committee, Mr. J. C. Hickey, which had been adjourned from the previous meeting for consideration by the new Committee.

The notice of motion was: "I hereby give notice I move, or cause to be moved, that the age limit for the filling of the clerical post in the City Vocational Education Committee be amended according to the age limit as from 18 years to 25 years to 16 years from the 2nd June, 1950, to 25, so as to give Miss V. Healy, the present temporary occupant of the post for the past 15 months, an opportunity to compete, and that any resolution to the contrary be and is hereby rescinded."

Mr. O'Connell asked if the motion was in order since the servant coming outside the scope of his employment.

Chairman—It was only a notice of motion and the mover was not here to proceed with it.

Mr. O'Connell—Then I am prepared to move the motion at the next meeting.

WHY MOTION WAS TABLED.

The C.E.O. explained to the new members that the motion was tabled to permit Miss Healy to compete for the permanent position. The Department had refused the request of the Committee to sanction her appointment in a permanent capacity because she was only 16 years of age.

The Department stated that the position would have to be filled by competitive examination, but because of her age Miss Healy was precluded from competing.

Mr. O'Malley told the members that according to the wording of Mr. Hickey's motion it would appear that only Miss Healy would be entitled to compete.

Mr. O'Malley—Then I think Miss Healy's name should not appear in the motion when it is moved.

After some further discussion, the Chairman ruled that until Mr. O'Connell's motion was banded in the matter was not properly before the Committee, and he would rule it out of order.

TENDER ACCEPTED.

In connection with tenders for installation of new heating boiler, the C.E.O. said that two tenders had been received. One gave a figure of £25 10s. and the other was £29.

On the proposition of Mr. O'Malley, seconded by Mr. O'Connell, it was unanimously agreed to accept the tender of £25 10s. It was disclosed that of Mr. P. J. Fitzgerald, Adam's. The other tender was submitted by Messrs. Matthew Hall & Co. Ltd., Westland Row, Dublin.

A quotation of £28 10s. for a G.E.C. three-phase cooler was accepted.

NO ACTION

CONGRATULATIONS

TRIBUTES TO MR. P. V. TWOMEY

APPLIANCES "LEADER" IN ENGLAND

(To the Editor, "Limerick Leader.")

Dear Sir—I have been working here in England since July last. Since my arrival I get the week-end "Leader" regularly from home. Reading each page of your popular journal fills me with loving thoughts of home, Limerick, and our old friends.

Mr. A. J. Blood-Smith, on behalf of the solicitors, paid similar tributes to the late Co. Registrar.

DROWNED IN VAT OF WINE

A 77-year-old wine grower, of Maureens, near Linoges, France, was found by his wife drowned in a vat of wine.

TO GET £82 PER DAY

Orson Welles is to make three films for an unnamed West German film company. He will be paid 1,000 Deutsche marks (£82) per working day.

HUGE TUBERS

Mr. Patrick Dunphy, Killogan, B.C., is glad that you raised this matter. I intended to do so.

Mr. Walsh, N.T., B.C., said that the duty of the Council of Education is to primary education as it stood and his achievement spoke very well also for Limerick and the Institute he administered as Chief Executive Officer. It was only fitting that they should offer him their congratulations and to have their expressions of congratulation placed on record.

The Chairman (Mr. P. J. Donnellan, B.C.) is glad that you raised this matter. I intended to do so.

Mr. Twomey, a very old friend, on gaining a high distinction with first-class honours. We are all delighted.

Mr. Twomey expressed himself deeply grateful to the members for their tributes.

HIGH TRIBUTES

Late Mr. H. O'B. Moran

TOUCHING REFERENCES IN CIRCUIT COURT

FOR nearly thirty minutes this morning, Judge Barron O'Brien, barristers, solicitors, officials, Gardai, and the public, stood in the Limerick Circuit Court to pay tribute to the memory of the late Co. Registrar, Mr. H. O'B. Moran, and to sympathise with his family.

The tricolour outside flew at half mast.

When the Judge entered the Courtroom, everybody in the Court rose to their feet and remained standing until nearly 11.30.

"It is only proper and fitting," His Lordship said, "that on the first occasion on which this Court sits since the lamented death of the County Registrar, that we should pay tribute to him."

Notwithstanding that you said that "I could not say if Joe (meaning the porter) asked for the keys?" That was the form in which the Sergeant took the statement.

Continuing, witness agreed that another person in the hotel on the same night as the porter had not permission to do so, and was described in the keys to the office.

Are you agreeing that the porter asked you for the keys of the car?" He suggested to me to give him the keys of the car.

Notwithstanding that you said that "I could not say if Joe (meaning the porter) asked for the keys?" That was the form in which the Sergeant took the statement.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

COULD HAVE WEPT.

A member of Gaelscoil Ceiliing Club, with trophies to his credit, Kevin could have covered the distance in much shorter time than it did to see many countries and places as possible on the outward journey. The despatch was for when the American leather jacketed jester, Kevin, was to witness the famous Oberammergau Passion Play. Also, when his streamlined racing bicycle, he left Limerick in mid-September and arrived in the Bavarian village a fortnight later.

Continuing, witness agreed that the games of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Another witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.

Continuing, witness agreed that the gates of the garage were closed. Then they were opened by the porter who drove in the car. He claimed that the porter could drive the car and he had not heard of the keys his car would not have been broken up.